

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,088	08/20/2004	Mats Sabelstrom	7589.187.PCUS00	9461
65858 7550 NOVAK DRUCE AND QUIGG LLP (Volvo) 1000 LOUISIANA STREET			EXAMINER	
			SY, MARIANO ONG	
FIFTY-THIRD FLOOR HOUSTON, TX 77002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	110001011, 111 //002		3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/711.088 SARELSTROM ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MARIANO SY 3683 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6.10.12-21 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/05/2008

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/711,088

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on May 5, 2008 has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1-6, 12-21, and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barger (US 6.155.650) in view of Baba et al. (US 4.593.953).

Barger disclosed, as shown in fig. 1-4, a protection device 10 for protecting a brake disk 24 in a disk brake from dirt particles, the brake disk having a pair of side surfaces and a radially outwardly facing edge surface disposed between the side

Application/Control Number: 10/711,088

Art Unit: 3683

surfaces, said protection device comprising: at least one protection means 56 configured to cover at least partly the edge surface of the brake disk for effectively preventing dirt particles and on-coming, travel generated wind from directly striking the brake disk

However Barger failed to disclose wherein the at least one protection means is at least partly constructed from material that is shape-influenced by heat.

Baba et al. teaches, as shown in fig. 1-2, the use of protection means 7, 8 that is shape-influenced by heat.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to merely use the known shape-influenced by heat protections means into the device of Barger, as taught by Baba et al., in order to restrict the opening of the protection means and only open when the temperature is high and needed for cooling so as to minimize dirt particles from brake disk.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Barger in view of Baba et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yanagi
(JP 59-47531A).

Barger as modified failed to disclose wherein the protection means is L-shaped in section

Yanagi teaches, as shown in fig. 7, a protection means 11, 11a is L-shaped in section.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/711,088

Art Unit: 3683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to merely utilize the known L-shaped protection means into the device of Barger as modified, as taught by Yanagi, as a matter of design choice in order to provide more rigidity to the protective means when closing.

 Claims 11 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 15, and 25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/711,088

Art Unit: 3683

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 571-272-

7126. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 A.M. to 2:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi, can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

/MS/ July 21, 2008

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Robert A. Siconolfi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3683